

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/764,978	DENCHEV ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
JUNE HWU	1661	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) JUNE HWU. (3) \_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Lynda Fitzpatrick. (4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 December 2010

**Time:** 10:05 a.m.

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*103(a)*

Claims discussed:

*1,5-6,13-14,16-17,19-22,43,52-60,62-63*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Pullman et al*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Attorney agreed with changes made to claims (see Examiner's amendment).*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/June Hwu/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1661

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)